



Cullen Foundation Supplemental Academic Program Strategy Update



Our strategy remains squarely focused on improving measurable academic outcomes for students

Our intended impact:

Increase the number of supplemental academic programs that demonstrably improve academic outcomes* along with the number of low-income students served by these programs.

> *Academic outcomes include but are not limited to: GPA, test scores, kindergarten readiness, HS graduation, and college matriculation.





Focused on impact – Grants to SAPs must produce academic outcomes



Open to innovation – The Foundation will remain relatively agnostic about *how* the program produces those outcomes

	ר⊂
\checkmark	=
×	
X	\equiv
Ľ	

Evidence-based – Grant amount and type will be tied to a program's level of evidence — programs with stronger evidence will receive more funding and be able to use it more flexibly



Sector-building — the Foundation will focus on increasing the number of programs that can demonstrate strong outcomes by investing in program capacity-building, data use, outcome evaluation, program design, and delivery



Going forward, evidence of impact will determine program eligibility for Cullen's SAP investment stages (1 of 2)

Stage 0: Promising model but lacking data collection	 Evidence-informed program model Limited or inconclusive data collection 	
Stage 1: Clear logic model and data collection in place	 Evidence-based program model Well-defined logic model that is testable Data collection systems in place with effort to study impact planned or underway 	
Stage 2: Correlational evidence of impact	 Strong, statistically significant positive impact Well-designed and implemented correlational study that statistically controls for selection bias 	
Stage 3: Causal evidence of impact	 Strong, statistically significant positive impact Experimental or quasi-experimental study that randomizes teachers or schools 	

Going forward, evidence of impact will determine program eligibility for Cullen's SAP investment stages (2 of 2)

Stage	Stage 0: Promising model but lacking data	Stage 1: Clear logic model and data collection in place (ESSA tier 4)	Stage 2: Correlational evidence of impact <i>(ESSA tier 3)</i>	Stage 3: Causal evidence of impact (ESSA tier 1 or 2)
Grant Type	Light-touch, standardized supports	Cohort-based program capacity building and program support to enable evaluation	Individualized capacity building, evaluation, and program support	Growth funding
Annual funding	\$5-10k	\$15-40K	\$50-100K	\$100-250K
Grant Duration	2-4 months	6-12 months	1-2 years	2-3 years

Increased evidence quality = increased potential investment



Providers will have access to capacity building modules focused on program design, delivery, and measurement

Programs can build capacity in three key areas:

Program planning and design	 Logic model development Process mapping User-centered design Researching and adopting best practices
Program delivery and improvement	 Current state assessment Staff training Quality assurance processes for program Continuous improvement / how to build an organizational culture that prioritizes evaluation and learning
Program evaluation and data use	 Data analysis Preparation for more rigorous program evaluation (coordination with other programs and schools, identifying/prioritizing outcomes, orienting to evidence standards) Strategies for developing in-house evaluation skills, funding evaluation activities, and aligning funder requirements Summarizing, communicating, and reflecting on evaluation findings

Sources: BW Policy and Evaluation Team, Pew Charitable Trusts <u>Evaluation Capacity Building Initiative</u>, additional desk research



An early-stage program entering Cullen's grant process will receive sequenced support aligned with investment stages



